

REMARKS

Claims 1-37 are pending in the application.

Claims 7, 8, 13-19, 23, 24, 26, 27 and 29-31 are found to contain allowable subject matter.

Claims 2-8, 10, 13, 15-20, 23 and 29-31 are objected to for informalities as noted on pages 2 and 3 of the office action. The changes as suggested by the examiner have been implemented herein.

Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Claims 26 and 27 have been amended to depend from claim 23 instead of claim 25. This corrects a typographical error.

In view of the above changes and comments it is respectfully requested the claim objections and rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, be withdrawn.

Claims 1-6, 20, 25, 28 and 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Ariyoshi et al. (hereinafter Ariyoshi). The independent claims of this application are claim 1 (mobile station), claim 20 (base station), claim 32 (communications network), claim 33 (receiving method in a mobile station), 34 (transmission method in a base station), claim 35 (communications method), claim 36 (mobile station - means plus function language), and claim 37 (base station - means plus function language).

In applicant's claim 1 it appears that in the Office Action applicant's claimed code information receiving portion is being compared and equated with the PJ-i, PC-i, SC-i and CT described in Ariyoshi.

However it is respectfully submitted that applicant's claimed "code information

BEST AVAILABLE COPY